

## Child Care Legislative Summary, 2010

Bill link & author	Description of Bill <b>Highlighted</b> text is new or changed since last Friday morning.	Status, Recent History	Positions (See last page for abbrevs.)
<b>California Assembly Bills</b>			Date is either when the position was published or when I verified it.
<a href="#">ABX3-9</a>	Eliminate the First 5 Mass Media Communications Account	Dead	
<a href="#">AB 12</a> Beall	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• AFDC Foster care: <del>Abolishes</del> <b>Revises</b> the current “Kinship Guardianship Assistance Payment Program (Kin-GAP) (which, as a part of the CalWORKs program, provides aid on behalf of eligible children who are placed in the home of a relative caretaker) <u>to provide state-funded assistance for youth not eligible under the federally funded program and requires the state to institute a different federal kinship guardianship assistance program. Follow the link for details of the new program.</u></li> <li>• Extends age to 21</li> <li>• “No appropriation from the General Fund would be made for the purposes of implementing these provisions.”</li> <li>• 3-23 amendment adds “including nonminor dependents” (defined as a (1) current or former dependent child or ward of the juvenile court (2) between 18 and 21 (3) in foster care under the responsibility of the county welfare department, county probation department, or Indian tribe that entered into an agreement pursuant to Section 10553.1 and is in a transitional independent living case plan) to a number of foster care provisions, including             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Placing kids of varying needs and designations in the same home</li> <li>○ Foster care homes have to meet the same regs as for younger kids</li> <li>○ This section takes effect 1-1-2012</li> </ul> </li> <li>• By 7-1-11, a list of stakeholders shall “revise regulations regarding health and safety standards for licensing foster family homes and community care facilities in which nonminor dependents... are placed”; the regs             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ “shall have the greatest amount of freedom that will safely prepare them for self-sufficiency”</li> <li>○ “Nonminors who remain in the same community care facility with children under 18 years of age need not be subject to criminal background clearances”</li> <li>○ By 7-1-10, DSS shall (in consultation with the stakeholders) issue ACLs to be in effect between 10-1-10 to 6-30-11. DSS can issue emergency regs.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• The California Community Care Facilities Act does not apply to “any supervised independent living setting for nonminor dependents” who are placed by the juvenile court, supervised by the county welfare department, probation department, or Indian tribe</li> <li>• “Nonminor dependents” remain under the jurisdiction of the juvenile courts</li> <li>• Does not give custody to the county welfare department; the kid retains all his or her legal decision-making authority as an adult.</li> <li>• Sets procedures to apply for nonminor dependent status</li> <li>• Status must be reviewed at least every 6 months</li> <li>• States requirements for reviews and reports</li> <li>• Before terminating a kid from dependency care, a report has to include info about the kid’s Indian heritage or tribal connections, family history as known, photos in the possession of the county welfare department (except forensic photos), whereabouts of any siblings under juvenile court jurisdiction (unless the court finds a danger there), social security care, driver’s license, birth certificate, health and education summary, parents’ death certificate if appropriate, a letter describing the kid’s foster care history, proof of citizenship, help applying for Medi-Cal or college, or maintaining relationships with important people in their lives.</li> </ul> <p>4-13 amendment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <del>Expands jurisdiction of juvenile court to adjudicate a child placed voluntarily in an approved home of a relative for not more than 180 days a dependent of the court</del></li> <li>• Changes limits on child welfare services for voluntarily placed children from 6 months to 180 days.</li> </ul>	<p>Human Services committee</p> <p>3-23 09 amended</p> <p>4-13 amended</p> <p>4-15 passed committee</p> <p>5-0</p> <p>To Appropriations</p> <p>4-29 amended</p> <p>5-20 Appropriations suspense file</p> <p>Hearing date 5-28</p> <p>5-28 hearing postponed by committee</p> <p>1-25-10 Amended and passed by Appropriations</p> <p>13-4</p> <p>Passed by Assembly 72-0</p> <p>Referred to Senate Human Services and Judiciary committees</p> <p>4-5 amended in HS</p> <p>Hearing date 6-12</p> <p><b>5-27 amended</b></p> <p>6-10 passed HS 3-0</p> <p>To Judiciary</p> <p>6-22 passed Judiciary 4-0</p> <p>To Appropriations</p> <p>6-29 amended</p> <p>8-2 amended</p> <p>Hearing postponed by</p>	<p>Sponsored by</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CA Alliance of Child and Family Services             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Ca Youth Connection</li> <li>▪ Children's Law Center of LA</li> <li>▪ CWDA</li> <li>▪ John Burton Foundation for Children Without Homes</li> <li>▪ Judicial Council of CA</li> </ul> </li> <li>• SEIU</li> <li>• Youth Law Center</li> </ul> <p>Support 6-9-10</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All Saints Church Foster Care Project</li> <li>• Alternative Family Services</li> <li>• Amer Acad of Pediatrics</li> <li>• AFSCME</li> <li>• AFL-CIO</li> <li>• CA Coalition for Youth</li> <li>• CA Commisn on Aging</li> <li>• CA Mental Health Directors Assn</li> <li>• CA Peace Officers' Assn</li> <li>• CA Police Chiefs Assn</li> <li>• CSAC</li> <li>• PTA</li> <li>• CTA</li> <li>• Children's Defense Fund</li> <li>• Children Now</li> <li>• Counties of San Diego, Contra Costa , San Francisco, LA, San Bernardino, Santa Clara, Ventura, Yolo</li> <li>• Court Appointed Special Advocates of 5 counties</li> </ul>

## Child Care Legislative Summary, 2010

Bill link & author	Description of Bill <b>Highlighted</b> text is new or changed since last Friday morning.	Status, Recent History	Positions (See last page for abbrevs.)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Changes rather than abolishes the Kin-GAP program, as highlighted above.</li> <li>• Makes many small changes that people involved in this program should follow the link to read.</li> </ul> <p>4-29 amendment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Currently the county welfare department’s annual eligibility review must coincide with one of three other annual determinations; this adds a fourth possibility</li> <li>• Adds labor organizations to stakeholder’s groups</li> <li>• Specifies that for non-minors not to have to be background checked when they are in a facility with kids under 18, it must be the same community care facility with that they were placed in while under 18 years of age.</li> <li>• Beginning 10-1-10, nonminors foster kids in this program can keep getting benefits as long as they are in high school or a technical school</li> </ul> <p>1-25-10 amendment puts off effective dates for several sections</p> <p>4-5 amendment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Puts off some effective dates and brings some others forward.</li> <li>• Reduces time a kid must have been living with a relative from 12 months to 6 months to be eligible for Kin-Gap</li> <li>• Repeals Kin-Gap and institutes something very similar, effective when federal money goes away.</li> </ul> <p>5-27 amendment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Would require, as a condition of receiving payments under the revised Kin-GAP Program provisions, that a county welfare agency, probation department, or Indian tribe enter into a written, binding kinship guardianship assistance agreement with the relative guardian of an eligible child. Specifies content of the agreement.</li> <li>• Makes changes to pay rates</li> <li>• After 1-1-2012, removes some rights to notice and provided counsel for parents.</li> </ul> <p>6-29 amendment adds</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If reunification services are not offered or are terminated, the case may be referred to the local child support agency, unless the child’s permanent plan is <u>legal guardianship with a relative who is receiving Kin-GAP</u> and the payment of support by the parent may compromise the stability of the related guardianship.</li> <li>• A transitional housing placement program, as defined in subdivision (s) of Section 1400 is eligible for payment.</li> </ul> <p>8-2 amendment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Removes “jurisdiction of juvenile court to adjudge a child placed voluntarily in an approved home of a relative for not more than 180 days a dependent of the court.”</li> <li>• Specifies that the jurisdiction of a juvenile court to include certain wards of the court refers to “delinquent” wards of the court.</li> <li>• Requires DSS to issue “all-county letters or similar instructions from the director, pending the adoption of emergency regulations.”</li> <li>• Specifies details of status reviews</li> <li>• “Would require a court to authorize a trial period of departure from foster care, as defined, when it terminates dependency jurisdiction over a nonminor dependent youth.”</li> <li>• Eliminates due-date to “review and develop modifications to the Independent Living Program.”</li> <li>• Repeals the requirement of an annual ADCD-FC eligibility redetermination.</li> <li>• When a foster kid reaches 18 “and elects to remain in the custody of the county as a nonminor dependent, the county must provide specified information to the youth regarding the process for becoming his or her own payee,</li> </ul>	<p>committee</p> <p><b>8-12 passed</b></p> <p><b>Appropriations 11-0</b></p> <p><b>To the floor</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Family Law Section of the State Bar of CA</li> <li>• Fight Crime: Invest in Kids CA</li> <li>• 7 Family Support and Treatment Centers</li> <li>• 152 other organizations and agencies</li> <li>• 23 individuals</li> </ul> <p>Oppose</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CA Right to Life Advocates 6-9-10</li> <li>• County of San Diego 6-21-10</li> </ul>

## Child Care Legislative Summary, 2010

Bill link & author	Description of Bill <b>Highlighted</b> text is new or changed since last Friday morning.	Status, Recent History	Positions (See last page for abbrevs.)
	<p>and to assist the youth in this process, unless becoming his or her own payee is not in the youth's best interests, as specified. It would, as part of this process, express the intent of the Legislature that the county insure that the nonminor receive direct payment of SSI benefits at least one month each year."</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Makes a change to screening requirements for SSI benefits for certain non-minor dependents.</li> </ul>		
<a href="#">AB 89</a>	Creates new tobacco tax of 10.5¢ a cigarette	Dead	
<a href="#">AB 137</a>	Brown Act changes	Dead	
<a href="#">AB 304</a>	APPs electronic banking for payments to family providers	Dead	
<a href="#">AB 315</a>	6-21 amended to remove child care content	6-21 gutted and amended	
<a href="#">AB 364</a>	Establishes a pilot program for an after school teacher pipeline	Dead	
<a href="#">AB 434</a>	ASES local match	Dead	
<a href="#">AB 495</a>	CDE data gathering	Dead	
<a href="#">AB 595</a>	Requires both a California and an FBI criminal record clearance or an exemption to be a foster parent	Signed by the governor	
<a href="#">AB 627</a>	Pilot program for nutrition and physical activity standards in exchange for a higher state meal reimbursement.	10-11 <a href="#">vetoed</a>	
<a href="#">AB 769</a>	State preschool priority for kids of kids who are dependents or recent wards of the juvenile court	10-22 vetoed	
<a href="#">AB 932</a>	Expands Child Care Facilities Revolving Fund	Dead	
<a href="#">AB 983</a>	Gutted and amended. No child care content.	9-9 Gutted and amended	
<a href="#">AB 997</a>	Identifying sex offenders living in licensed residential child care, or foster care facilities.	Dead	
<a href="#">AB 1004</a>	Gutted and amended. No child care content remaining.	4-16 gutted and amended	
<a href="#">AB 1124</a>	Aging out out of the California Early Intervention Services Act	Dead	
<a href="#">AB 1195</a>	ELQIS committee to advise in spending ARRA	Dead	
<a href="#">AB 1349</a>	Funding for Prop 49 after school programs	Dead	
<a href="#">AB 1368</a>	Requires staff member onsite in family child care with current certificate in pediatric first aid and pediatric CPR	10-11 signed by governor	
<a href="#">AB 1494</a>	Clarifies section of Brown Act on using electronic devices or intermediaries to develop a collective concurrence	8-6 signed by governor	
<a href="#">AB 1683</a>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>"Would require priority for participation in state preschool programs also to be given to children who have a biological custodial parent who is, or who has been within the previous 6 months, a dependent or ward of the juvenile court."</del></li> <li><del>Would prohibit priority enrollment from being used to displace children who are currently receiving care.</del></li> <li><del>Requires the State Board of Education (SBE) to include school districts, county offices of education (COEs), and other agencies deemed eligible pursuant to state and federal law, in any application for federal aid to education, in any allocation of federal funds made pursuant to law, and in any rules and regulations adopted governing the allocation of those funds.</del></li> </ul> <p>5-28 amendment deletes most previous content and defines school districts "to include county offices of education and other agencies deemed eligible" for purposes of applying for federal money.</p>	<p>1-26 introduced</p> <p>4-8 passed Education 8-0</p> <p>To Appropriations, with recommendation to consent calendar</p> <p>4-21 to Appropriations suspense file</p> <p>5-28 Amended and passed Appropriations 12-0</p> <p>6-2 passed Assembly 75-0</p> <p>6-10 to Senate Education</p> <p>6-30 Passed Education 8-0</p> <p>To Appropriations</p> <p>8-2 determined not to involve money</p> <p>8-5 passed Senate 33-0</p>	<p>Support</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CCDAA 4-6-10</li> <li>AFSCME 4-7-10</li> <li>AFL-CIO 4-7-10</li> <li>Advancement project 6-24-10</li> <li>Offices of Education of Kern and LA 6-24-10</li> <li>Fight Crime, Invest in Kids 6-24-10</li> </ul>

## Child Care Legislative Summary, 2010

Bill link & author	Description of Bill <b>Highlighted</b> text is new or changed since last Friday morning.	Status, Recent History	Positions (See last page for abbrevs.)
		To the Assembly for concurrence in amendments	
<a href="#">AB 1831</a>	ASES and English learners	Dead	
<a href="#">AB 1876</a> Torlakson	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <del>Allows volunteers to conduct non-supervisory duties in ASES programs</del></li> </ul> <p>4-5 amendment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Would authorize ASES programs to operate on weekends.</li> <li>• Costs associated with weekend activities would be paid from a program’s maximum grant or supplemental grant, as specified.</li> <li>• Weekend attendance would not be reported to CDE for the calculation of either the maximum grant amount or a supplemental grant amount.</li> <li>• When a grant expires, priority would be given to applicants who have <del>previously received funding, if the grantees</del> have shown results in any of the following areas:                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Positive behavioral changes, as reported by schoolday teachers or after school staff who directly supervise pupils.</li> <li>○ Pupil Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program test scores.</li> <li>○ Homework completion rates as reported by schoolday teachers or after school staff who directly supervise pupils.</li> <li>○ Skill development as reported by schoolday teachers or after school staff who directly supervise pupils.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>4-28 amendment removes previous funding from priority.</p>	<p>2-16 introduced</p> <p>4-5 amended</p> <p>4-21 passed Education 6-3</p> <p>To the floor</p> <p>4-28 amended</p> <p>5-13 passed by Assembly 51-24</p> <p>5-27 To Senate Education</p> <p>6-23 Passed Education 5-2</p> <p>7-1 passed Senate 24-10</p> <p>To the governor</p> <p>7-23 vetoed</p>	<p>Sponsored by Partnership for Children and Youth</p> <p>Support:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CA Alliance of Boys &amp; Girls Clubs 4-19-10</li> <li>• CA Association for Health, Physical Education, Recreation and Dance 4-19-10</li> <li>• Children Now 4-19-10</li> <li>• Fight Crime: Invest in Kids 4-19-10</li> <li>• Fresno County Office of Education 4-19-10</li> <li>• League of CA Afterschool Providers 4-19-10</li> <li>• Woodcraft Rangers 4-19-10</li> <li>• 9 local agencies</li> </ul>
<a href="#">AB 1979</a>	Requires CCL to inspect all licensed centers annually.	Dead	
<a href="#">AB 2084</a> Brownley	<p>A licensed child care facility must:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Whenever milk is served</u>, serve only 1 percent milk or nonfat milk to children two years of age or older.</li> <li>• Limit juice to not more than one serving per day of 100 percent juice.</li> <li>• Serve no beverages with added sweeteners, either natural or artificial.</li> <li>• Make clean and safe drinking water readily available and accessible for consumption throughout the day, <del>particularly with meals and snacks.</del></li> </ul> <p>4-26 amendment makes change above and says the rules don’t apply if a kid has a note from a doctor saying it would hurt the kid’s health.</p> <p>5-6 amendment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Adds findings about sodas and tooth decay</li> <li>• Specifies that the beverage requirements “are for beverages served by the day care provider to children in the provider’s care”</li> <li>• “Beverages with added sweeteners” does not include infant formula or complete balanced nutritional products designed for children.</li> <li>• Does “not apply to beverages at a licensed child day care facility that are provided by a parent or legal guardian for his or her child.</li> <li>• Changes the wording of the doctor’s note</li> </ul> <p>6-15 amendment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• adds finding that “Healthy beverages for young children include, but are not limited to, water, lowfat or nonfat milk,</li> </ul>	<p>2-18 introduced</p> <p>4-4 to Human Services</p> <p>4-13 passed HS 4-2</p> <p>Passed by Human Services 4-2</p> <p>To Appropriations</p> <p>4-26 amended</p> <p>5-6 amended</p> <p>5-19 passed Appropriations 11-5</p> <p>5-24 passed assembly 44-26</p> <p>6-3 to Senate Health</p> <p>Hearing date 6-23</p> <p><b>6-15 amended</b></p>	<p>Sponsored by California Food Policy Advocates</p> <p>Support</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CA Ctr for Pub Health Advocacy 4-12-10</li> <li>• CA Medical Assn 4-12-10</li> <li>• CTA 4-12-10</li> <li>• Central Coast Hunger Coalition 4-12-10</li> <li>• Dental Health Foundation 4-12-10</li> <li>• Fresno Metro Ministry 4-12-10</li> <li>• Plowshares 4-12-10</li> <li>• Pub Health Foundation Enterprises, Inc. 4-12-10</li> <li>• Second Harvest, Santa Cruz 4-12-10</li> <li>• Am Academy of Pediatrics 6-22-10</li> <li>• Am Cancer Soc 6-22-10</li> </ul>

## Child Care Legislative Summary, 2010

Bill link & author	Description of Bill <b>Highlighted</b> text is new or changed since last Friday morning.	Status, Recent History	Positions (See last page for abbrevs.)
	<p>and breast milk, all of which are associated with reduced risk for being overweight or obese.”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Deletes specifying that water must particularly be made available with meals and snacks.</li> </ul>	<p>6-24 passed Health To Appropriations <b>8-12 passed Appropriations 7-4</b> <b>To the floor</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• AFSCME 6-22-10</li> <li>• Am Heart Assn 6-22-10</li> <li>• CAPP 6-22-10</li> <li>• CA Chiropractic Assn 6-22-10</li> <li>• CA Dental Assn 6-22-10</li> <li>• CA Park &amp; Rec Soc 6-22-10</li> <li>• CA PTA 6-22-10</li> <li>• CTA 6-22-10</li> <li>• State Supt of Public Instruction 6-22-10</li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">AB 2178</a> Torlakson</p>	<p>Allows transfer of information between schools and ASES programs, to find out if ASES improves educational outcomes</p> <p>4-14 amendment specifies the information transfer is between schools and operators of ASES programs <u>with which the LEA has a contract.</u></p> <p>5-28 amendment requires CDE “to collect and maintain this data in a manner that enables the analysis of all programs and the aggregation of statewide reports, and that readily links to the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System.”</p>	<p>2-18 introduced 4-4 to Education 4-7 passed Education 8-0 To Appropriations, with recommendation to consent calendar 4-14 amended 4-28 To Appropriations suspense file 5-28 Amended and passed Appropriations 17-0 6-2 passed Assembly 76-0 6-10 to Senate Education 6-30 Passed Education 8-0 To Appropriations with recommendation to consent calendar 8-3 passed by Appropriations 10-0 To the floor 8-4 to third reading <b>8-12 to special consent calendar</b></p>	<p>Support</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• After School All-Stars LA 4-6-10</li> <li>• CA Assn for Health, PE, Recreation and Dance 4-6-10</li> <li>• Children Now 4-6-10</li> <li>• Lake County O of E 4-6-10</li> <li>• LA's BEST 4-6-10</li> <li>• League of CA Afterschool Providers 4-6-10</li> <li>• Partnership for Children and Youth 4-6-10</li> </ul> <p>Oppose</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CCDAA</li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">AB 2252</a></p>	<p>Universal preschool</p>	<p>Dead</p>	
<p><a href="#">AB 2323</a></p>	<p>Carryover of CDD contracts</p>	<p>Dead</p>	

## Child Care Legislative Summary, 2010

Bill link & author	Description of Bill	Status, Recent History	Positions (See last page for abbrevs.)
<a href="#">AB 2463</a> Brownley	<p><b>Highlighted</b> text is new or changed since last Friday morning.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Confirms Ed Code to tasks R&amp;R already does</li> <li>• <del>Deletes existing provision giving \$180,000 annually to R&amp;Rs. States “the intent of the Legislature to appropriate each fiscal year funding for child care and development resource and referral programs.”</del></li> <li>• Specifies there is no income limit on getting referrals</li> <li>• Requires written policy on referrals</li> <li>• Loosens wording on referring to license-exempt programs</li> <li>• Adds new duties for R&amp;R             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Provide training and workshops on health and safety, child development, special needs, and other topics related to professional development.</li> <li>○ <del>Provide community resource assistance to parents, child care providers, and other community organizations by providing information about community resources, child care statistics, and initiating a child care business. Provide information about community resources, child care statistics, and opening a child care business to parents, child care providers, community organizations, and government entities.</del></li> <li>○ Collaborate with community partners to increase awareness of child care issues and maximize federal, state, and local resources.</li> <li>○ Assist community and public agencies in planning, coordinating, and improving child care in the area.</li> <li>○ <del>Assist the local county welfare agency in determining the child care needs of CalWORKs families, and provide CalWORKs families with information on available child care. Partner with local county welfare agencies in meeting the child care needs of CalWORKs families.</del></li> <li>○ Facilitate efforts to expand child care services in the local community based on demonstrated demand for services.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>5-28 amendment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Adds as an exception to the R&amp;R rule not to divulge small-family provider information, “except when necessary to collaborate with federal, state, and local emergency response organizations for emergency preparedness and response efforts.</li> <li>• Deletes 2-day requirement for removing from the program’s referral list a licensed child day care facility with a revocation or suspension or that is on probation.</li> <li>• Local R&amp;Rs must give state R&amp;R             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Data from its service area on child care supply and demand, at least biennially</li> <li>○ Data on other child care resource and referral services, including, but not limited to, training and technical assistance provided to parents and providers. no more frequently than quarterly</li> <li>○ State R&amp;R must give compiled information on child care resource to CDE annually.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Specifies that basic referrals must be free to the parent, but enhanced referrals and trainings may be free or fee-for-service.</li> <li>• <del>Changes the Growing, Learning, Caring Project from being for all providers to license-exempt providers.</del></li> <li>• Deletes H&amp;S code section on R&amp;Rs and Trustline and adds Ed code section saying Local R&amp;Rs must review Trustline applications for completeness and forward the necessary application information to DSS. Must cooperate with state R&amp;R “to facilitate the use of the Trustline automated registration process or the Trustline Web-based application process.”</li> <li>• Makes changes highlighted above.</li> </ul> <p>8-3 amendment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Adds Requests for other child care information and Child care supply information, including the number of licensed child care programs, licensed family day care homes, license-exempt child care programs, and, if available, the number of license exempt child care providers to the list of data R&amp;Rs must collect on requests for child care and deletes supply information from a later section that used to require it.</li> <li>• Removes the Growing, Learning, Caring Project license-exempt training project. Replaces it with R&amp;Rs “shall</li> </ul>	<p>2-19 introduced</p> <p>3-11 To Human Services</p> <p>4-13 passed by HS 6-0</p> <p>To Appropriations</p> <p>4-28 To Appropriations suspense file</p> <p>5-28 Amended and passed Appropriations 12-5</p> <p>6-2 passed Assembly 50-24</p> <p>6-10 to Senate Education</p> <p>6-30 Passed Education 6-2</p> <p>To Appropriations</p> <p>8-3 amended</p> <p><b>8-9 Appropriations suspense file</b></p> <p><b>8-12 held under submission</b></p>	<p>Support</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CA R&amp;R 4-12-10</li> <li>• BANANAS R&amp;R 4-12-10</li> <li>• Community Action Partnership of San Luis Obispo 4-12-10</li> <li>• LPCs of Contra Costa, Marin, San Mateo 4-12-10</li> <li>• Pathways 4-12-10</li> <li>• River to Coast children's Services 4-12-10</li> <li>• Solano Family &amp; children's Services 4-12-10</li> <li>• Del Norte Child Care Council 4-12-10</li> </ul> <p>Oppose</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Riverside County Child Care Consortium 4-22-10</li> </ul>

## Child Care Legislative Summary, 2010

Bill link & author	Description of Bill <b>Highlighted</b> text is new or changed since last Friday morning.	Status, Recent History	Positions (See last page for abbrevs.)
	provide a range of professional development services to all types of child care providers, including, but not limited to, license exempt child care providers, licensed family day care homes, and center-based child care and development programs..."		
<a href="#">AB 2553</a>	Statewide kindergarten readiness data collection tools	Dead	
<a href="#">AB 2592</a> Buchanan	<p><del>Spot bill stating intent of the legislature to pass legislation regarding ELQIS.</del></p> <p>4-8 amendment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Would require CDE “to develop and implement a <u>pilot program for a quality rating scale based on (ELQIS’s) recommendations “that and supports reflects the cultural and linguistic diversity of California’s young children.”</u>”</li> <li>• “The quality rating scale shall do the following:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Measure the quality of services of an early care and education provider.</li> <li>(b) Measure elements of quality of an early care and education <u>facility program and facility</u> that include, but are not limited to, the following:                   <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) Quality of the learning environment.</li> <li>(2) Quality of adult-child interactions.</li> <li>(3) Adult-to-child ratios.</li> <li>(4) Provider’s education and professional qualifications, including those recognized by the Commission on Teacher Credentialing.</li> <li>(5) Parent and family involvement.</li> </ul> </li> <li>(c) Inform parents and other consumers of early care and education services about the quality of a <u>facility program</u> in a simple and easy to understand manner.”</li> </ul> </li> <li>• ELAC shall conduct an annual review of the <u>pilot program</u> and provide recommendations <del>for improvement to the legislature.</del></li> </ul> <p>4-17 amendment makes changes above</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Legislative Counsel’s summary adds that the purpose of the QRIS is “measuring the quality of early care and education providers and programs,” but this addition does not correspond to any change in the bill itself.</li> </ul> <p>5-28 amendment makes it <u>include</u> a pilot program.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CDE shall develop criteria for the selection of early care and education providers and facilities to participate in the pilot program described in subdivision</li> <li>• CDE shall ensure that the early care and education providers and facilities selected are geographically diverse and provide services to low income children, children with special needs, and English learners.</li> <li>• This article shall not be implemented unless federal early care and education funds are provided for these purposes.</li> <li>• CDE shall hire <u>an evaluator</u> to evaluate the ELAC review and make recommendations to the legislature.</li> <li>• Sunsets 6-30-2017.</li> </ul> <p>8-5 amendment says</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The QRIS shall include a pilot program, rather than be one, and the pilot is intended to inform future program design.</li> <li>• Specifies outside evaluator</li> <li>• Specifies that quality measurements may include, but are not limited to, the following characteristics of the children and families:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Socioeconomic demographics.</li> <li>○ Ethnic, linguistic, and cultural demographics.</li> <li>○ The providers’ demonstrated ability to address the learning needs of English learners and children with disabilities.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>2-19 Introduced</p> <p>4-8 Amended</p> <p>4-21 Passed Education 5-3</p> <p>To Appropriations</p> <p>4-27 Amended</p> <p>5-19 To Appropriations Suspense File</p> <p>5-28 Amended And Passed Appropriations 12-5</p> <p>6-3 Passed Assembly 50-27</p> <p>6-10 to Senate Education</p> <p>6-23 Passed Education 5-2</p> <p>To Appropriations</p> <p>8-3 to Appropriations suspense file 10-0</p> <p>8-5 amended</p> <p><b>8-12 passed Appropriations 7-4</b></p> <p><b>To the floor</b></p>	<p>Support</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Advancement Project 6-22-10</li> <li>• Bay Area Council 6-22-10</li> <li>• Business-Education Alliance of Merced County 6-22-10</li> <li>• CCDAA 4-19-10</li> <li>• CFT 6-22-10</li> <li>• CA Head Start 6-22-10</li> <li>• CA Kindergarten Assn 6-22-10</li> <li>• Children Now 6-22-10</li> <li>• Fight Crime: Invest in Kids 6-22-10</li> <li>• Livingston Union School District 6-22-10</li> <li>• Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce 6-22-10</li> <li>• Options 6-22-10</li> <li>• San Diego Regional Economic Development Corporation 6-22-10</li> <li>• Santa Clara County OE 6-22-10</li> <li>• Silicon Valley Leadership Group 6-22-10</li> <li>• UC Merced 6-22-10</li> <li>• Assn of CA School Administrators 6-22-10</li> <li>• CA First 5 6-22-10</li> <li>• CA PTA</li> </ul>

## Child Care Legislative Summary, 2010

Bill link & author	Description of Bill <span style="background-color: yellow;">Highlighted</span> text is new or changed since last Friday morning.	Status, Recent History	Positions (See last page for abbrevs.)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The elements of quality will be used to measure and assess various early care and education providers and programs and to determine childrens' outcomes.</li> </ul>		
<b>California Senate Bills</b>			
<a href="#">SB5X-1</a>	Race to the top changes	1-7 signed by governor	
<a href="#">SB5X-2</a>	P-20 (preschool through grad school) data system.	1-7 signed by governor	
<a href="#">SBX3 9</a>	Would eliminate the First 5 Mass Media Communications Account	Dead	
<a href="#">SB 19</a>	8-27 Gutted and amended; no child care content remaining	8-27 Gutted and amended	
<a href="#">SBX3-25</a>	Eliminates state and local First 5 and puts the tobacco tax money to other purposes.	Dead	
<a href="#">SB 41</a>	Would abolish First 5	Dead	
<a href="#">SCR 44</a> Corbett	Resolved that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>the Legislature requests CDE to review the current RMR methodology and implementation guidelines, and see if it gives sufficient access to working poor families;</del></li> <li><del>CDE must "operate an open and transparent process hold an open meeting where all vested stakeholders are fully versed in the methodology used for the regional market rate survey and are included in the planning and implementation process being undertaken by CDE to establish new rates or a new rate structure;"</del></li> </ul> <p><del>7-8 amendment requests CDE to report to the Leg on its "hold an open meeting relating to the department's review of current regional market rate methodology and implementation guidelines, and any recommended changes to the current methodology" by 4-1-2010.</del></p> <p><del>8-18 amendment specifies the process is an open meeting.</del></p> <p>8-26 amendment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>states intent of the legislature to hold hearings, with CDE participating, to review California's current regional market rate methodology and implementation guidelines survey, and question whether adherence to the current regional market rate system has resulted in sufficient access for working poor families, and execute any recommended changes to the current methodology;</li> <li>requests that all vested stakeholders be included in the planning and implementation for new rates or a new rate structure;</li> <li>discussion items include RMR rate history, current methodology, federal and state requirements, and the regulations and implementation guidelines used to implement the regional market rate;</li> <li>The joint legislative hearings should take place by April 1, 2010, so that any recommended changes to the current regional market rate methodology can be considered in budget negotiations</li> </ul> <p>3-15 amendment eliminates the hearings and substitutes a resolution to conduct a review to determine the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>whether the regional market rate ceilings are adequate to ensure high-quality regional programs;</li> <li>whether the rate-setting system has resulted in sufficient access to child care for working poor families;</li> <li>whether the supply of current licensed child care providers has diminished or expanded compared to previous years;</li> <li>whether the regional market rate ceilings provide access to providers able to provide infant and toddler care, special needs care, and child care during nontraditional hours; and,</li> </ul> <p>whether the regional market rate ceilings take into account regional differences so as not to create a bifurcated child care and development delivery system</p>	Introduced 5-6-09 7-8 Amended and passed Senate Education 7-0 8-17 passed Appropriations 9-3 8-18 amended 8-26 amended 9-2 Passed Senate To Assembly Ed Committee 3-15 amended 6- 16 Hearing canceled at the request of author.	
<a href="#">SCR 47</a> DeSaulnier	States the intent of the Legislature to increase the SRR for Title 5 programs in future years, as resources become available, in order to provide staff of Title V child development centers and preschools with adequate salaries and	5-14 introduced	Sponsored by CCDA

## Child Care Legislative Summary, 2010

Bill link & author	Description of Bill <b>Highlighted</b> text is new or changed since last Friday morning.	Status, Recent History	Positions (See last page for abbrevs.)
	benefits, provide adequate resources to support program quality for children, and keep programs open to serve parents and children.	To committee on Rules 6-24 Withdrawn from committee; to third reading 8-24 passed Senate 24-11 6-17 passed by Assembly Ed committee 6-2 6-28 adopted by Assembly 49-20 <b>8-9 passed Senate 21-11</b> <b>8-11 signed by governor</b>	Support • CCCCA 9-3-09
<a href="#">SB 177</a>	Car seating requirements for kids.	Dead	
<a href="#">SB 244</a>	Changes eligibility requirements for California state preschool program	Dead	
<a href="#">SB 293</a>	Changes birthday cutoff for kindergarten entry	Dead	
<a href="#">SB 379</a>	Exempts Heritage schools from child care licensure.	Dead	
<a href="#">SB 383</a>	Autism Spectrum Disorders Early Screening, Intervention, and Treatment Pilot Program	Dead	
<a href="#">SB 702</a>	Employees of an "ancillary child care center," are considered license-exempt providers who must be TrustLined	10-11 signed into law	
<a href="#">SB 797</a> Pavley	Would "prohibit the manufacture, sale, or distribution in commerce of any bottle, cup, or liquid, food, or beverage in a can or jar <b>or plastic bottle</b> that contains bisphenol A <b>or that is lined with a material that contains bisphenol A</b> , at a level above 0.1 parts per billion," if it is intended for kids 0-3, and require manufacturers to use the least toxic substitute.  6-25 amendment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Includes the lining of a container.</li> <li>Adds plastic bottles to list of types of containers</li> </ul> 7-15 amendment makes it take effect January 1, <del>2011</del> , <u>2012</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Exempts containers for liquid infant formula.</li> <li>Exempts medical devices</li> <li>Repeals the bill if the Department of Toxic Substances Control adopts regulations that do certain things.</li> <li>Exempts containers meant for the general public rather than kids 0-3.</li> </ul> 6-24-10 amendment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Changes effective date to 1-1-2012.</li> <li>Exempts containers for infant formula in other than liquid form</li> </ul>	2-27 introduced 3-19 to committees on Environmental Quality and Health 4-20 passed committee 5-2 To Committee on Health 4-29 passed committee 6-2 6-2 passed Senate 21-16 To the Assembly Committees on Environmental Safety & Toxic Materials and Health 6-25 amended in ES&TM 6-30 Passed by ES&TM committee 5-2 7-14 passed Health 10-6 To the floor 7-15 amended 9-9 failed in Assembly 35-	Sponsored by Environmental Working Group Support <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Breast Cancer Fund 4-20-09</li> <li>CA League of Conservation Voters 4-20-09</li> <li>CA Nurses Association 4-20-09</li> <li>CA WIC 4-20-09</li> <li>Clean Water Action 4-20-09</li> <li>Commonweal 4-20-09</li> <li>Consumer Federation of CA 4-20-09</li> <li>Consumers Union 4-20-09</li> <li>Environment CA 4-20-09</li> <li>MOMS (Making our Milk Safe) 4-20-09</li> <li>Physicians for Social Responsibility 4-20-09</li> <li>Planned Parenthood Affiliates of CA 4-20-09</li> <li>Planning and Conservation League 4-20-09</li> <li>San Diego Coastkeeper 4-20-09</li> <li>SEIU 4-20-09</li> <li>Sierra Club California 4-20-09</li> <li>Women's Foundation of CA 4-20-09</li> <li>Zero Breast Cancer 4-20-09</li> <li>Asian Health Services 4-28-09</li> <li>CA Assn of Sanitation Agencies 4-28-09</li> <li>CALPIRG 4-28-09</li> <li>CA Teamsters 4-28-09</li> </ul>

## Child Care Legislative Summary, 2010

Bill link & author	Description of Bill <b>Highlighted</b> text is new or changed since last Friday morning.	Status, Recent History	Positions (See last page for abbrevs.)
		<p>32</p> <p>9-9 Motion to reconsider made by Assembly Member John A. Perez.</p> <p>9-10 Placed on inactive file on request of Assembly Member Torrico</p> <p>6-17 Notice of motion to remove from inactive file given by Assembly Member Bradford.</p> <p>6-21 to third reading</p> <p>6-24 amended;</p> <p>6-28 failed passage 37-29</p> <p>Motion to reconsider made by Assembly Member Bradford.</p> <p>6-29 Motion to reconsider continued to June 30</p> <p>7-1 passed Assembly 43-31</p> <p>To the Senate for concurrence in amendments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Co of LA</li> <li>• Natural Resource Defense Council 4-28-09</li> </ul> <p>Oppose</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• American Chemistry Council 4-20-09</li> <li>• CA Grocers Assn 4-20-09</li> <li>• Civil Justice Assn of CA 4-20-09</li> <li>• CA Chamber of Commerce 4-28-09</li> <li>• Can Manufacturer's Institute 4-28-09</li> <li>• International Formula Council 4-28-09</li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">SB 798</a> DeSaulnier</p>	<p><del>Rewrites funding system for 21st Century Community Learning Centers Program. People involved in administering this program should read the bill. I don't know enough about the program to see what the changes are.</del></p> <p><del>4-1 amendment says it applies to elementary and middle school programs and selected high school programs (ASES)</del></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <del>Requires all school sites operating during the summer that are eligible to provide free meals and snacks to participating children through the United States Department of Agriculture's Summer Food Service Program to offer free meals and snacks through the federal Summer Food Service Program or the federal Seamless Summer Option.</del></li> </ul> <p><del>4-29 amendment changes Advisory Committee on Before and After School Programs from an entity that must consent to changes in the program to one that CDE must consult with.</del></p> <p>1-11 amendment deletes all current content and replaces it with: Whenever this program's <b>federal State</b> appropriation exceeds the 2008-09 appropriation, <b>after certain funds have been allocated</b> the excess goes to direct grants:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) Thirty-five percent to community learning centers serving high school pupils.</li> <li>(2) Fifty percent to community learning centers serving elementary and middle school pupils.</li> <li>(3) Fifteen percent to summer programs serving elementary and middle school pupils.</li> </ol> <p>6-24 amendment commits federal but not state money</p>	<p>2-27 introduced</p> <p>3-19 committee on Ed</p> <p>Hearing date 4-15</p> <p>4-1 amended</p> <p>4-2 Hearing canceled at the request of author</p> <p>4-22 passed committee 9-0</p> <p>To Appropriations</p> <p>4-29 amended</p> <p>5-11 Appropriations suspense file</p> <p>5-28 held under submission</p> <p>1-11-10 amended</p>	<p>Sponsor Partnership for Children and Youth Support</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <del>40</del> 16 Agencies with programs 1/22/10</li> <li>• AFSCME 4-20-09</li> <li>• Bay Area Partnership 1/22/10</li> <li>• CA Food Policy Advocates 1/22/10</li> <li>• Children Now 6-14-10</li> <li>• Cybermill 1/22/10</li> <li>• Fight Crime: Invest in Kids 1/22/10</li> <li>• League of CA Afterschool Providers 6-14-10</li> <li>• San Francisco Department of Children Youth &amp; Their Families 1/22/10</li> <li>• Sunset Neighborhood Beacon Center 1/22/10</li> </ul>

## Child Care Legislative Summary, 2010

Bill link & author	Description of Bill <b>Highlighted</b> text is new or changed since last Friday morning.	Status, Recent History	Positions (See last page for abbrevs.)
	<p><b>8-13 amendment:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Changes relevant appropriation from federal to state</li> <li>Makes new allocation after 5 percent of the federal funds appropriated have been taken out for technical assistance evaluation, and training services, and for contracting for local technical assistance.</li> <li>Priority for funds allocated to programs serving elementary and middle school pupils to be given to programs that previously received funding to replace expiring grants, if those programs have satisfactorily met projected pupil outcomes.</li> </ul>	<p>1-21 passed by Appropriations 6-3</p> <p>1-28 passed Senate 31-6</p> <p>To Assembly Education</p> <p>6-16 passed Ed 7-0</p> <p>6-24 amended</p> <p>8-5 to Appropriations suspense file</p> <p><b>8-13 amended and passed Appropriations 12-5</b></p> <p><b>To the floor</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Woodcraft Rangers 6-14-10</li> <li>Alameda BOS 1/22/10</li> <li>CA School Boards Assn 1/22/10</li> <li>Assn of CS School Administrators 1/22/10</li> <li>Children Now 6-14-10</li> <li>CA Boys &amp; Girls Clubs 6-14-10</li> <li>LC Co Bd of Ed 6-14-10</li> </ul> <p>Opposed</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CA Right to Life Committee, Inc 6-14-10</li> </ul>
<a href="#">SB 1099</a>	CDD program funds as the local match for Title 4-E subsidized foster care.	Dead	
<a href="#">SB 1109</a>	Eliminates state and local First 5 and puts the tobacco tax money to healthy Families and Medi-Cal.	Dead	
<a href="#">SB 1126</a>	Allowing community college to grant BAs in ECE.	Dead	
<a href="#">SB 1225</a>	San Francisco's individualized county child care subsidy plan.	Dead	
<a href="#">SB 1381</a> Simitian	<p><del>Extends sunset date of Kindergarten Readiness Pilot Program two years; participating schools use Sept. 1 as a cut-off birth date for admission.</del></p> <p>3-23 amendment replaces previous content</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>“Would change the required birthday for admission to kindergarten and first grade to November 1 for the 2012–13 school year, October 1 for the 2013–14 school year, and September 1 for the 2014–15 school year and each school year thereafter.”</li> <li><del>Half of the savings from the change would go to expanding the state preschool program.</del></li> </ul> <p>4-21 amendment states “the intent of the Legislature that children who are four and five years of age and ineligible for admission to kindergarten be allowed to participate in the state preschool program.”</p> <p><del>6-1 amendment “would prohibit a school district from including the year to year loss of ADA for kindergarten in the 2012-13, 2013-14, or 2014-15 fiscal year” in computing the fiscal year average daily attendance.</del></p> <p>8-2 amendment removes requirement to put half of the money toward expanding state preschool.</p> <p>8-5 amendment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>“Would require a child whose admission to a traditional kindergarten is delayed to be admitted to a transitional kindergarten program.” (“Transitional kindergarten” means the first year of a two-year kindergarten program that uses a modified kindergarten curriculum that is age and developmentally appropriate.)</li> <li>Such kids would be included in the district’s ADA.</li> <li>Removes 6-1 amendment.</li> </ul>	<p>2-19 introduced</p> <p>4-4 to Education</p> <p>3-23 amended</p> <p>4-14 passed Ed 8-0;</p> <p>To Appropriations</p> <p>4-21 amended</p> <p>5-3 to Appropriations suspense file 10-0</p> <p>5-27 Passed Appropriations 8-2</p> <p>6-1 amended</p> <p>6-2 passed Senate 28-4;</p> <p>6-10 to Assembly Education</p> <p>6-30 passed Education 8-0</p> <p>To Appropriations</p> <p>8-2 Amended</p> <p>8-4 amended</p>	

## Child Care Legislative Summary, 2010

Bill link & author	Description of Bill <b>Highlighted</b> text is new or changed since last Friday morning.	Status, Recent History	Positions (See last page for abbrevs.)
		8-5 to Appropriations suspense file  <b>8-12 passed by Appropriations 12-15</b>  <b>To the floor</b>	
<b>Federal Legislation</b> (Federal legislation is much harder to track than state, and I don't actively track it, so if anybody notices a significant change to these bills, please let me know.) (The federal leg website doesn't give direct links to bills. Go to <a href="http://thomas.loc.gov/cgi-bin/thomas">http://thomas.loc.gov/cgi-bin/thomas</a> and search on the bill number)			
HR 702 Hirono	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Matching grants to states to enhance or improve state-funded preschool programs</li> <li>• Grant formula favors states that:                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ have curricula aligned with state early learning standards</li> <li>○ use nationally-established, or better, best practices for class size and teacher-to-student ratios</li> <li>○ require each teacher to have at least an associate degree in early childhood education or a related field</li> <li>○ require such programs to operate for at least a full academic year</li> <li>○ have a plan for meeting the requirement, within five years of receiving such grant, that teachers have at least a baccalaureate degree in early childhood education or have such degree in a related field, but have also completed specialized training in early childhood education.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Prohibits state grantees from reducing their preschool or child care expenditures.</li> <li>• Requires priority for areas of concentrations of impoverished children</li> <li>• Among grant uses:                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ increasing the qualifications of, and benefits provided to, teachers, teacher aides, and program directors</li> <li>○ decreasing class size and improving teacher-to-student ratios;</li> <li>○ providing certain comprehensive services that support healthy child development</li> <li>○ extending program duration; and (5) improving program monitoring and learning environments.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Reserves funds for competitive grants to Indians for their preschool programs.</li> </ul>	1-27-09 House Committee on Education and Labor	
HR 1685 McCarthy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Funding to community development financial institutions (CDFI) and other nonprofit lenders to provide low-cost loans and grants to child care providers.</li> </ul>		Support • LIIF 4-10-09
HR 2041 Lowey	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides 1/3 federal matching grants to businesses and consortia who provide or subsidize employees' child care and to non-profits who offer technical assistance to them.</li> </ul>	Introduced 4-22-09	
USH 1755 Hare	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Awards 5-year initial grants to States to establish, enhance, or expand high-quality preschool programs for children ages 3 through 5 in rural areas</li> <li>• States may spend 5% for various quality improvements</li> <li>• Allotment formula shall consider local barriers to quality education, local needs including learning disabilities and limited English proficiency, and current availability of programs</li> <li>• Provider eligibility requires                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ max class size of 20</li> <li>○ teacher-to-student ratio of 10 to 1 or lower;</li> <li>○ adherence to comprehensive early learning standards;</li> <li>○ at least one highly nutritious meal for each child for every 3 hours of program participation per day; and</li> <li>○ at least one highly nutritious snack for each child participating in the program for up to 3 hours per day.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Introduced 3-26-09	
S 2898 Landrieu	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Requires centers getting CCDBG money to develop emergency plans for evacuation, reunification, special needs, and temporary operating standards. Encourages states and local governments to address child care in their response plans.</li> <li>• Allows CCDBG money to be used for subsidized child care "so parents can seek housing and employment operations."</li> </ul>		

## Child Care Legislative Summary, 2010

Bill link & author	Description of Bill <b>Highlighted</b> text is new or changed since last Friday morning.	Status, Recent History	Positions (See last page for abbrevs.)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Authorizes Head Start agencies to do counseling for pre-K students after a disaster</li> <li>• Tuition reimbursement for displaced K-12 students; funding for host community schools; aid to disaster area schools to restart operations.</li> </ul>		

AFSCME = American Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees

BOS = Board of Supervisors

CAEYC = California Association for the Education of Young Children

CAPPA = California Alternative Payment Program Association

CCCCA = California Child Care Coordinators Association

CCDAA = California Child Development Administrators Association

CCLC = Child Care Law Center

CDPI = Child Development Policy Institute

CCSESA = California County Superintendents Educational Services Association

CFT = California Federation of Teachers

COE = County Office of Education

CSAC = California State Association of Counties

CTA = California Teachers Association

CWDA=County Welfare Directors' Association

First 5 = First 5 Commission of California

LCC = League of California Cities

LIIF = Low Income Investment Fund

LPC = Local Planning Council

PACE = Professional Association for Childhood Education

PTA = California State Parent Teachers Association

R&R = California State Resource & Referral Network

SEIU = Service Employees International Union