

Child Care Legislative Summary, 2008

Bill link & author	Description of Bill Highlighted text is new or changed since last Friday.	Status, Recent History	Positions (See last page for abbrevs.)
California Assembly Bills		Bold = introduced or amended since last PCPP meeting	Date is either when the position was published or when I verified it.
AB 1 Dymally	Expands eligibility for Medi-Cal and Health Families	Dead	
AB 16	Was vaccinations before entering child care or public school. Gutted and amended to remove all child care content.	4-28 gutted and amended	
AB 131 Beall	3-11 amendment guts bill and adds exceptional needs with an IEP as a category of eligibility for state preschool 6-10 amendment guts bill and replaces with language allowing a school or district to hire someone to provide instruction to pupils ages 3 and 4 who are diagnosed as autistic, if the individual holds a valid level 1 or clear education specialist credential, is authorized to provide instruction to pupils with autism, and either has a year of experience doing it or has 3 units in ECE special ed. 6-19 amendment adds urgency clause.	3-11 gutted and amended Passed Senate 40-0, with urgency clause 8-11 Assembly concurs in Senate amendments 78-0 To the governor	Support: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Alliance of CA Autism Orgs 6-25-08 • Applied Behavior Consultants, Inc. 6-25-08 • Assn of Regional Center Agencies 6-25-08 • CA Assn of Private Special Ed Schools 6-25-08 • CA State PTA 6-25-08
AB 659 Ma	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adds “locally funded” to the types of child care (in addition to state or federally funded) to which a contractor is allowed, under certain circumstances, to transfer a family who no longer meet eligibility or need requirements of the program they’re in. • Public funding must constitute at least 50 percent of the cost of care, <u>excluding family fees</u>. • A locally funded program may pick a special population to serve local needs (“as defined by its local planning counsel’s child care needs assessment, or local high needs populations, including, but not limited to, children of prisoners, infants and toddlers, children with special needs, foster children, and homeless children,” but they still have to meet eligibility requirements for the program, and you have to rank the applicants within the population according to standard priority rules. <p>4-17 amendment makes family fees change above.</p> <p>8-13 amendment limits the bill to the City and County of San Francisco.</p>	8-20 passed Assembly 25-12 8-29 Senate concurs in amendments 47-29 To the governor	Sponsored by City and County of San Francisco Support <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CCCCA 3-5-08 • San Francisco LPC 3-18-08 • CCDAA 3-29-08 • Riverside Co Child Care Consortium 4-21-08 • CAPP 5-12-08 • CCLC 5-12-08 • Children’s Council of San Francisco 5-12-08 • CWDA 5-12-08 • San Mateo Co Child Care Partnership Council 5-12-08
AB 978 Benoit	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Would increase the minimum number of centers and family day care homes subject to an annual unannounced visit from 20% to 30%. • When a violation is found, would require DSS to ensure that a licensee’s plan of correction is verifiable and measurable. It must specify what evidence is acceptable to establish that a deficiency has been corrected. • Defines serious violations as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fire clearance violations, including, but not limited to, overcapacity, ambulatory status, inoperable smoke alarms, and inoperable fire alarm systems. ○ Absence of supervision ○ Accessible bodies of water ○ Accessible firearms, ammunition, or both. ○ Refused entry to a facility or any part of a facility of a person authorized to inspect ○ The presence of an excluded person on the premises. • Urgency measure 	4-24 passed by Human Services 7-0 5-31 passed Appropriations 17-0 6-1 amended 6-5 Passed Assembly 77-0 6-27 passed Human Services 5-0 7-16 Placed on Appropriations suspense	Support: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CCCCA 3-26-06 • Alzheimer’s Assn 6-22-07 • AFSCME 6-22-07 <p>Watch</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CSAC 3-5-07 • PACE 3-22-07 <p>Oppose</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CCDAA 3-29-08

Child Care Legislative Summary, 2008

Bill link & author	Description of Bill	Status, Recent History	Positions (See last page for abbrevs.)
	<p>Highlighted text is new or changed since last Friday.</p> <p>6-1 amendment deletes requirements for frequency of licensing visits.</p> <p>8-20 amendment puts off until 2008-09 a requirement that if citations go up 10% in a year, the size of the sample increases by 10% the next year.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Deletes a paragraph saying “serious violations include the following,” and substitutes a paragraph saying, “DSS shall assess a civil penalty of \$150 per day per violations for the following serious violations.” • DSS must conduct an unannounced visit to a facility that has been ordered closed, to make sure they’re not back in operation, unless they have previously verified that the facility is nonoperational. • Eliminates the requirement that an unannounced visit to make sure someone excluded from the facility isn’t there be made during child care hours of operation. • Raises civil penalty for repeat violations within 12 months from \$150 plus \$50/day to \$150 plus \$150/day. <p>8-18 amendment changes way civil penalty money is kept and spent</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Removes requirement that inspection reports be “open for public inspection in the county in which the facility is located.” • Adds details about fire clearances 	<p>file 17-0</p> <p>8-20 amended</p> <p>8-31 passed by Appropriations 16-1</p> <p>9-4 To inactive file on motion of Senator Runner.</p> <p>8-18-08 From inactive file and amended</p> <p>8-28 Assembly concurs in amendments</p> <p>To the governor</p>	
<p>AB 1028 Caballero</p>	<p>Was spot bill 3-29 amendment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requires CDE to inform the Joint Legislative Budget Committee of any new requirements for child development centers and any unusual industry wide increases in costs so the committee can decide if it should increase reimbursement rates. • Deletes requirement that audits of licensed centers include a sampling of the evidence of fees paid by families of nonsubsidized children, the average daily enrollment of subsidized and nonsubsidized children, the average number of days of service provided to subsidized children, and the services provided to subsidized children pursuant to the terms of the contract. • Requires that CDD inform center based contractors in writing before enforcing any laws or regs • A contractor can use its own funds or other funding to augment a CDD program but can't be paid twice for the same service. "Unrestricted or undesignated private charitable donations and contributions made to charitable or nonprofit organizations" can't be counted against state funding. • Private nonprofit and for profit entities that provide child care and development services and that expend \$500,000 or more in total federal funds, including non child development programs, must conduct the annual single independent financial and compliance audit in accordance with the federal Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133 of June 27, 2003. Audits of public entities that provide child care and development services, excluding school districts, county offices of education and community college districts, also must comply with Circular A-133. <p>4-26 amendment removes requirement that CDD inform center-based contractors in writing before enforcing regs.</p> <p>5-16 amendment delete requirement to inform Joint Legislative Budget Committee.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Changes wording on use of non-CDD funds: Would require a child care contractor receiving additional funding to separately account for all sources <u>and amounts</u> of funds to ensure a contractor is not reimbursed twice for the same activity, personnel, or function • Would prohibit the State Department of Education from including any unrestricted donations and contributions <u>funds</u> in calculations of the state funding available to child care contractors <p>6-1 amendment eliminates provision above regarding programs spending more than \$500,000 a year in federal funds.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adds: “Nothing in this subdivision shall be interpreted to affect the current regulations or practices <u>regulations</u> of the department for the reporting and processing of <u>unrestricted and</u> restricted funds <u>in effect immediately preceding January 1, 2009.</u>” 	<p>Human Services</p> <p>3-29 amended</p> <p>4-24 passed by committee 7-0</p> <p>To Appropriations</p> <p>4-26 amended</p> <p>5-16 amended</p> <p>5-31 passed Appropriations 17-0</p> <p>6-1 amended</p> <p>6-5 To inactive file on motion of Assembly Member Bass.</p> <p>1-8 From inactive file. To third reading.</p> <p>1-14 passed Assembly 58-13</p> <p>4-14 amended</p> <p>5-14 passed by Education 8-0</p> <p>6-30 passed by</p>	<p>Sponsored by CCDA</p> <p>Support</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PACE 4-23-07 • AFSCME 4-23-07 • CDPI 4-23-07 • Marin Co First 5 4-23-07 • LA Unified School Dist 4-23-07 • CAPP 4-27-07 • San Francisco LPC 3-18-08 • Riverside Co Child Care Consortium 4-21-08 <p>Watch:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CSAC 3-5-07

Child Care Legislative Summary, 2008

Bill link & author	Description of Bill Highlighted text is new or changed since last Friday.	Status, Recent History	Positions (See last page for abbrevs.)
	4-14 amendment makes wording changes highlighted above	Appropriations 8-0 7-7 passed Senate 33-3 8-7 Assembly concurs in Senate amendments 51-25 To the governor	
AB 1059	Expand Child Development Teacher and Supervisor Grant Program and raise the maximum level of the grants	Dead	
AB 1279 Coto	<p>2-26 child care content added. Changes APP allowable Admin plus Support amount from 23.4567% of provider payments to 20% of the allocation.</p> <p>Contents copied to AB 1395;</p> <p>9-15 amendment keeps APP payment ceiling at 85th percentile of RMR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Start using 2007 RMR in March 2009 and then update “no more frequently than once every two years” instead of annually • No family fees for families receiving CalWORKs cash aid • APP admin capped at 19% of total allocation instead of 23.4567% of reimbursable expenses • 	<p>Dead</p> <p>9-15 amended and passed by Senate</p> <p>9-16 Assembly concurs in amendments</p> <p>To the governor</p>	
AB 1395 Coto	<p>6-2 Contents of AB 1279 moved to this bill.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Changes APP allowable Admin plus Support amount from 23.4567% of provider payments to 20% 19% of the allocation. 	Dead	
AB 1475 Galgiani	Would expand list of mandated reporters to include commercial computer technicians who come across computer pictures of children being subject to, or involved in, sexual abuse.	Dead	
AB 1768	Continuation of services under the California Early Intervention Services Act during an appeal	Dead	
AB 1871	Qualifying for a certificate of bilingual-crosscultural competence by passing a course or by passing a test	Dead	
AB 1888	Adds “Heritage schools” to the list of child care facilities that are exempt from licensing regs.	Dead	
AB 2346 Swanson	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Would delete the Child Development Programs Advisory Committee from the review loop for child care facilities in new or renovated big state buildings. • Would authorize the Director of General Services to provide child care space rent-free in new or renovated big state buildings only to a child care facility in the Elihu M. Harris State Office Building. <p>4-24 amendment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Un-removes the Child Development Programs Advisory Committee from the review loop. • Limits the bill to the Elihu M. Harris State Office Building in Oakland. <p>8-11 amendment requires the Director of General Services “to grant a full rent subsidy only to a child care facility that has received a rent deferral for 2 or more years, upon the request of the employee-occupants of the building.”</p>	<p>2-21 introduced</p> <p>To Business & Professions committee</p> <p>4-15 Passed B&P 6-2</p> <p>To Appropriations</p> <p>4-24 amended</p> <p>4-30 passed Appropriations 11-5</p> <p>5-27 passed Assembly 48-30</p> <p>Senate Government Organization Committee</p> <p>6-24 Passed GO 6-3</p>	<p>Sponsored by Alameda LPC</p> <p>Support</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AFSCME 6-25-08 • Alameda Co Board of Sups 6-25-08 <p>Watch</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CCDAA 3-29-08

Child Care Legislative Summary, 2008

Bill link & author	Description of Bill Highlighted text is new or changed since last Friday.	Status, Recent History	Positions (See last page for abbrevs.)
		to Appropriations 8-4 Appropriations suspense file 14-0 8-11 Amended and passed Appropriations 9-6 8-20 passed Senate 23-14 8-28 Assembly concurs in amendments To the governor	
AB 2453	Intent of the Legislature to require family child care providers to take early childhood education training.	Dead	
AB 2467 Brownley	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Expands list of information provided to a child’s parent or guardian when a child is transferred from a state-funded preschool or infant and toddler program to a public school, which may, with the permission of the parent or guardian, be transferred to the pupil’s elementary school. • Would require the Superintendent to “convene a stakeholder group to determine, among other things, new strategies for implementing preschool information transfer” and explore the extent to which preschool summary information can be integrated into the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System. • Would authorize the Superintendent to include information from children in Head Start programs in the information transfer, subject to the consent of the child’s parent or guardian. • Urgency clause 4-7 amendment substitutes stakeholder group for pilot programs. States intent to establish a procedure for information transfer from preschool to public school. 4-16 amendment deletes urgency clause. 5-23 amendment eliminates stakeholder group and Head Start inclusion. 6-23 amendment specifies which information may be transferred between state preschool and public schools: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The eligibility for, or participation in, any public support programs by the child or family. • Whether the child has an individualized education plan or has received a diagnosis for any type of special services. • Whether the child is an English learner. • Whether the child is in need of remedial assistance, an after school program, special transportation, or full-day child care. • Other information the teacher wants, if the parent approves. • The parent may add information “relating to the strengths, weaknesses, and unique needs of the pupil,” either with the preschool information or separately. 	2-21 Introduced 4-7 amended 4-9 passed Education 7-0 To Appropriations 4-16 amended 5-23 Amended and Passed Appropriations 12-5 5-28 Passed Assembly 75-4 Senate committees on Education and Judiciary 6-11 Passed Education 9-0 To Judiciary 6-12 Withdrawn from Judiciary; to Appropriations Hearing date 6-30 6-23 amended 6-30 Determined not to involve money; to the floor 8-27 passed Senate 38-1 8-29 Assembly concurs in amendments 78-0 To the governor	Support <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CCDAA 6-26-08 • CDPI 6-26-08 • PACE 6-26-08 • CD Resources of Ventura Co 6-26-08 • CCCCA 7-2-09

Child Care Legislative Summary, 2008

Bill link & author	Description of Bill	Status, Recent History	Positions (See last page for abbrevs.)
AB 2525	Highlighted text is new or changed since last Friday. Adds "(a) "Did you attend preschool?" and (b) "If yes, for how long?" to the corrections system intake evaluation.	Dead	
AB 2582	Information transfer between state preschool and public schools	Dead	
AB 2593 Adams	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Would require CCL to check Megan's List website before licensing, certifying, or visiting any child care facility (and other kinds of facilities) to see if any sex offenders live there. 	Dead	

Child Care Legislative Summary, 2008

Bill link & author	Description of Bill	Status, Recent History	Positions (See last page for abbrevs.)																																	
AB 2759 Jones	<p>4-3 amendment fills in spot bill: Combines CDD programs for 3-5 year olds into a new state preschool program that has two types, full-day and part-day. Full-day is basically current general child care rules, and part-day is basically state preschool rules. For contractors providing services to infants, toddlers, and/or school-age children, funds can be transferred among programs to ensure continuity of care. Encourages state preschool contractors to offer full-day care. Will not become effective unless SB 1629 passes this year.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Part-day (3 hours)</th> <th>Full-day (more than 3 hours)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Days</td> <td>175 days a year</td> <td>250 days a year</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Title 5 Staffing</td> <td>Required</td> <td>Required</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Parental work or school need</td> <td>Not required</td> <td>Required</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Eligibility reasons</td> <td>Income eligible, public assistance recipient, homeless, or child – CPS or at-risk</td> <td>Income eligible, public assistance recipient, homeless, or child – CPS or at-risk</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Income eligibility</td> <td>Under 75% SMI</td> <td>Under 75% SMI</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Over-income</td> <td>10% permitted</td> <td>None permitted</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Parental fees</td> <td>Not required</td> <td>Required</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Reimbursement</td> <td>\$21.22 with no adjustment factors</td> <td>\$34.38 with adjustment factors</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Eligibility determination</td> <td>One time, at program entry</td> <td>Ongoing</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Priority order</td> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CPS and at-risk children • within income limits 4-year-olds • lowest over-income 4-year-olds • 3-year-olds </td> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CPS and at-risk children • 4-year-olds • 3-year-olds </td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>4-24 amendment is mostly language clean-up. It deletes a section that tells how to spend part of the budget of 2005, deletes a couple of obsolete sentences, such as the requirement for a program director, and replaces old program names with new program names in various sections of the Ed Code</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Would require CDE to monitor funding for infant-toddler and preschool programs and to report to the Dept of Finance and the Legislature every 3 years <u>annually</u> the estimated funding used for infants and toddlers and the number of preschool age children receiving <u>part-time and</u> full-time development services. • Reinstates a reimbursement factor that had been deleted in the previous amendment: “For child care and development providers serving children for less than four hours per day, the reimbursement factor is 55 percent of the SRR.” <p>5-23 amendment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The 3 hours per day of a part-day program includes mealtime. • Makes following change to part-day days of operation: “<u>a minimum of 175 days</u> per year, unless the contract specifies a lower or higher number of days of operation. • No family fees for part-day program. • Nothing here precludes an LEA from subcontracting out a state preschool program. If a school district neither operates nor subcontracts out a program, CDE will explore other potential contractors. • Deletes section saying when a contractor gives up or loses a contract in an API decile 1-3 area, priority goes to a contractor in that same area and puts it somewhere else with almost the only change being: “shall assign first priority to successful applicants that <u>will agree to</u> maintain that class within the attendance area” • Removes section saying how the \$2500 per classroom may be spent • Sets up priorities in case there is not enough money to fund all applicants. Priority 1 is those already receiving funding; priority 1 is school attendance areas in API decile 1; priority 3 is decile 2. Priority 4 is decile 3. At whichever priority they don't have enough money to fund the whole priority, funding will be by random draw. If a school goes to API decile 4, the program get one more year of priority 4 funding. <p>7-5 amendment makes up for 4-10 deletion. Adds part-day care to report.</p> <p>7-5 Specifics: the \$2500 per classroom may be spent on instructional materials, including consumables.</p> <p>8-4 amendment</p>		Part-day (3 hours)	Full-day (more than 3 hours)	Days	175 days a year	250 days a year	Title 5 Staffing	Required	Required	Parental work or school need	Not required	Required	Eligibility reasons	Income eligible, public assistance recipient, homeless, or child – CPS or at-risk	Income eligible, public assistance recipient, homeless, or child – CPS or at-risk	Income eligibility	Under 75% SMI	Under 75% SMI	Over-income	10% permitted	None permitted	Parental fees	Not required	Required	Reimbursement	\$21.22 with no adjustment factors	\$34.38 with adjustment factors	Eligibility determination	One time, at program entry	Ongoing	Priority order	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CPS and at-risk children • within income limits 4-year-olds • lowest over-income 4-year-olds • 3-year-olds 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CPS and at-risk children • 4-year-olds • 3-year-olds 	<p>Committee on Education</p> <p>4-3 amended</p> <p>4-10 passed Education 7-3</p> <p>To Appropriations</p> <p>4-24 amended</p> <p>5-7 Appropriations suspense file</p> <p>5-23 passed Appropriations 12-5</p> <p>5-23 amended</p> <p>5-28 passed Assembly 47-31</p> <p>6-12 To Senate Education</p> <p>6-17 amended</p> <p>6-25 Education suspense file</p> <p>6-26 Passed Education 6-2</p> <p>To Appropriations</p> <p>Hearing date 8-4</p> <p>8-4 amended</p> <p>8-4 Appropriations suspense file 14-0</p> <p>8-11 passed Appropriations 9-6</p> <p>8-12 amended and to third reading</p> <p>8-20 amended</p> <p>8-22 amended</p> <p>8-26 Passed by Senate 34-2</p> <p>8-29 Assembly concurs in amendments 76-1</p> <p>To the governor</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sponsored by Supt of Pub Inst, Children Now, Preschool CA, and CCDA <p>Support</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Advancement Project 6-23-08 • Bay Area ECE Prof Dev Collaborative 4-17-08 • Bay Area Council 4-17-08 • R&R 4-17-08 • CA County Supts Ed Services Assn 4-17-08 • CDPI 6-23-08 • Low Income Investment Fund 4-17-08 • Nuvview Union School District 4-17-08 • Options 4-17-08 • Sacramento Co Office of Ed 4-17-08 • San Mateo Co Office of Ed 4-17-08 • State Coalition of Probation Organizations 4-17-08 • Riverside Co Child Care Consortium 4-21-08 • Assn of CA School Administrators 6-23-08 • CAPP 6-23-08 • CCSESA 6-23-08 • CFT 6-23-08 • CA State PTA 6-23-08 • CA School Boards Assn 6-23-08 • First 5 6-23-08 • Fight Crime; Invest in Kids 6-23-08 • LA Co Office of Ed 6-23-08 • PACE 6-23-08 • Supt of Instruction 6-23-08 • CCCCA 7-2-08
	Part-day (3 hours)	Full-day (more than 3 hours)																																		
Days	175 days a year	250 days a year																																		
Title 5 Staffing	Required	Required																																		
Parental work or school need	Not required	Required																																		
Eligibility reasons	Income eligible, public assistance recipient, homeless, or child – CPS or at-risk	Income eligible, public assistance recipient, homeless, or child – CPS or at-risk																																		
Income eligibility	Under 75% SMI	Under 75% SMI																																		
Over-income	10% permitted	None permitted																																		
Parental fees	Not required	Required																																		
Reimbursement	\$21.22 with no adjustment factors	\$34.38 with adjustment factors																																		
Eligibility determination	One time, at program entry	Ongoing																																		
Priority order	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CPS and at-risk children • within income limits 4-year-olds • lowest over-income 4-year-olds • 3-year-olds 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CPS and at-risk children • 4-year-olds • 3-year-olds 																																		

Child Care Legislative Summary, 2008

Bill link & author	Description of Bill	Status, Recent History	Positions (See last page for abbrevs.)
AB 2772	Intent of the Legislature to evaluate public and private child day care facilities and reform applicable regulations.	Dead	
AB 2843	Adds Foreign Language to the list of educational enrichment elements that may be in a Prop 49 after-school program.	Vetoed	
AB 2909	Intent of the Legislature to enact legislation to establish the Preschool Advisory Council	Dead	
California Senate Bills			
SB 32 Steinberg	3-28 amendment would expand eligibility Medi-Cal and Healthy Families to include children birth through 18 with family incomes at or below 300% of the federal poverty level (FPL).	Dead	
SB 197 Ducheny	Allows foster children in CAPP child care <u>child development programs</u> to keep their child care certificate <u>or voucher or slot</u> when moving to a new foster care family rather than going back on the CEL	Dead	
SB 635	Intent of the Legislature to enact legislation on fraud in stage two and stage three child care	Dead	
SB 867	Unionization of licensed family child care providers.	Vetoed	
SB 1022 Steinberg	<p>Would allow a person require the department to remove all information relating to a person whose name was is listed in the Child Abuse Central Index as a perpetrator of child abuse or neglect <u>suspect in a child abuse or neglect investigation</u> due to an incident that occurred when the person was younger than 18 years old <u>under 18 years of age</u> to have his or her name removed from the index if the incident did not result in a delinquency adjudication or criminal conviction.</p> <p>3-27 amendment makes removal automatic. Deletes neglect charges from the name removal.</p> <p>Current law says DSS must notify someone who has been accused of abuse. This amendment would require that if the suspected abuser is a minor, “the current caregiver, the parents or legal guardian, the attorney, and the guardian ad litem” must also be notified.</p> <p>5-22 amendment adds the person must make a notarized written request to the department to have his or her name removed as a suspect with respect to that incident.</p> <p>If a person is listed in the index as a suspect with respect to more than one reported incident, the same process shall be followed for each incident for which the person wishes to have his or her name removed from the index.</p> <p>8-18 amendment adds victim and caregiver or parent to who gets certain reports.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Changes time for expunging name of a minor from 10 years to 5. • Adds to list of agencies that can get CACI information, a county child welfare agency or adoption agency conducting a background investigation of an applicant seeking employment or volunteer status who will have direct contact with kids who might have been abused or neglected. • Certain sections take effect only if AB 2618 or AB 2651 passes. <p>8-22 amendment removes victim and caregiver from list that is notified if a known or suspected abuser has been reported to the Child Abuse Central Index.</p>	<p>3-27 amended</p> <p>4-10 passed Public Safety 3-1</p> <p>5-22 amended</p> <p>5-31 passed Appr 10-6</p> <p>6-6 passed Senate 22-11</p> <p>7-3 passed Assembly Public Safety 4-3</p> <p>8-30 Passed Appropriations 11-6</p> <p>9-7 passed by Assembly 44-31</p> <p>To Senate for concurrence in amendments</p> <p>9-11 to inactive file on request of Senator Steinberg</p> <p>8-12 removed from inactive file and sent back to Assembly</p> <p>8-18 amended and passed Assembly 47-31</p> <p>8-22 amended</p>	<p>Sponsored by CA Welfare Directors Association and Conference of Delegates of the CA Bar Association</p> <p>Support</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AFSCME 7-2-07 • Prevent Child Abuse CA 7-2-07 • Taxpayers for Improving Public Safety 7-2-07 <p>Opposition</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CA District Attorneys Assn 7-2-07

Child Care Legislative Summary, 2008

Bill link & author	Description of Bill Highlighted text is new or changed since last Friday.	Status, Recent History	Positions (See last page for abbrevs.)
		8-25 passed Assembly 41-32 8-28 Senate concurs in amendments 22-13 To the governor	
SB 1119	Spot bill makes trivial wording changes to California Community Care Facilities Act.	Dead	
SB 1179	Vaccinations	Dead	
SB 1304	Extends San Mateo's individualized subsidized child care plan for 5 more years.	Signed by governor	
SB 1410	Makes SRR equal to current SRR or the license-exempt provider RMR ceiling in that county, whichever is higher	Dead	
SB 1492	Changes conditions under which continuous appropriation commences for Prop 49 after school programs.	Dead	
SB 1629 Steinberg	<p>4-1 amendment fills in spot bill: establish the Early Learning Quality Improvement System Commission Advisory Committee to develop a framework for improving program quality and a graduated funding framework that identifies necessary resources.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 18-22 members (all are "or designee"): The Supt of Public Inst, Secy of Ed, President pro Tempore of the Senate, Speaker of the Assembly, First 5 Chair, UC President (if the Regents approve), CSU Chancellor, California Community College Chancellor, Chair of Commission on Teacher Credentialing, Director of Social Services, plus one appointed by the governor and one appointed jointly by the Speaker of the Assembly and Speaker Pro Tempore from each of the following communities: Early care and education, K-12 public school system, English language learners, Parents. Plus four representatives from the ECE community including at least one preschool teacher at a private licensed child care provider, and at least one appointee who is a program administrator of a CDD-funded preschool and employed by a local educational agency. Various offices will appoint a preschool program administrator of a private agency operating a CDD program, one from K-12, Experts in the needs of English learners, and parents of kids in CDD programs. The commission would be required to develop the policy and plan for an Early Learning Quality Improvement System, and to report to the Legislature and the Governor by December 31, 2009. Lists features of a high-quality program that should be considered, including staff qualifications, compensation, ratios, learning environment, articulation among programs, special needs inclusion, English language learner support, and family involvement CDE must provide staff to support the commission; funding would come from an application by the commission Superintendent to the California Children and Families Commission. The commission is also authorized to seek funding from other sources. The Early Learning Quality Improvement System will be phased in to the extent at the discretion of the legislature as funds are made available. The bill would become operative only if AB 2759 passes this year. <p>4-22 amendment makes lots of wording changes, such as from "chair" to "chairperson"</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In the elements of a quality improvement system the commission must consider, makes the following changes: "This analysis shall identify strategies that ensure <u>support and build upon</u> the existing early care and education workforce, which that reflects the cultural and linguistic diversity of California, <u>and that ensures the existing workforce</u> has the opportunity to meet any higher levels of education qualifications recommended programs." Requires strategies for birth to five instead of birth to three. "No General Fund expenditures shall be required to fund the work of the commission." The commission can't seek funding from other sources than CCFC. Funding is discretionary by the legislature. 	<p>2-22 introduced</p> <p>Rules committee</p> <p>4-1 amended</p> <p>To Education committee</p> <p>Hearing date 4-16</p> <p>4-7 Rule on hearing notice waived; Hearing date 4-9</p> <p>4-9 Placed on Education suspense file.</p> <p>Hearing date 4-17</p> <p>Hearing postponed by committee</p> <p>4-22 amended and passed by Education 8-1</p> <p>To Appropriations</p> <p>5-6 amended</p> <p>5-12 passed Appropriations</p> <p>5-22 passed Senate 26-11</p> <p>Assembly Education</p> <p>Hearing date 6-18</p> <p>6-12 amended</p> <p>6-18 passed by Ed 7-3</p> <p>To Appropriations</p>	<p>Sponsored by Supt of Public Instruction, Preschool California, <u>and Assn of CA School Administrators CCDAA Children Now</u></p> <p>Support</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Advancement Project 4-7-08 Bay Area Council 5-14-08 Bay Area Early Childhood Ed Professional Development Collaborative 4-7-08 CA County Supts Educational Services Assn 6-16-08 CDPI 5-14-08 Low Income Investment Fund 5-14-08 Nuviv Union School Dist 4-7-08 Options 4-7-08 Preschool CA 4-7-08 Riverside Co Child Care Consortium 4-21-08 PACE 5-14-08 County Offices of Ed of Alameda, El Dorado, Sacramento, Mendocino, Merced, San Mateo, Yolo CA PTA 6-16-08 CTA 6-16-08 First 5 5-14-08 LA Chamber of Commerce 5-14-08 CA Continuation Ed Assn 6-16-08 Fight Crime: Invest in Kids CA 6-16-08

Child Care Legislative Summary, 2008

Bill link & author	Description of Bill	Status, Recent History	Positions (See last page for abbrevs.)
	<p>Highlighted text is new or changed since last Friday.</p> <p>5-6 amendment changes findings</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Changes composition of commission as highlighted above. • As factors in a quality rating system: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ In place of “regulatory compliance,” specifies compliance with Title 5 and Title 22 ○ Adds Comprehensive health and development screenings using standard tools. ○ Adds Data collection and methods to support continuous quality improvement. ○ Adds Program management and leadership. ○ Adds “children with disabilities” to “children with exceptional needs” in inclusion paragraph. <p>6-12 amendment changes composition of commission. Adds an infant-toddler caregiver, broadens preschool program administrator to child development program administrator, adds guardians to parents, a few other changes like that.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adds public input • In the commission’s report, changes from 4 to 3 the required elements of a quality improvement system: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ “An assessment and analysis of the existing early care and education infrastructure including an identification of the strengths, gaps, and barriers to achieving higher quality early learning programs other states’ systems ○ “The development of an early learning quality rating scale for child development and care programs, including preschool, that serve children from birth to five years of age, including preschool age inclusive, children and infants and toddlers.” ○ “The development of a graduated funding model aligned with the quality rating system scale that provides the necessary resources for preschool programs to achieve higher quality levels, and that supports continuous program quality improvement.” for child care and development programs that serve children from birth to five years of age, inclusive, including preschool <p>6-26 amendment changes date of commission’s report: interim by 12-31-09 and final by 12-21-10.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Becomes inoperative 7-1-11 and is repealed 1-1-12. • Changes commission member chosen by the Speaker of the Assembly from a K-12 teacher to a kindergarten teacher • State officers on the commission don’t get the \$100 per diem. <p>8-8 amendment changes 22-member commission to a 13-member “advisory committee”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Removes requirement that CDE provide staff • Deletes all findings • Committee membership includes 3 ECE people: a director, an infant-toddler caregiver, and someone with experience with English learners. • The committee is supposed to discern which elements of rating scales contribute most to quality care • The committee will look at how state, local, federal, and private money can be combined. <p>8-15 amendment corrects a couple of typos</p>	<p>6-26 amended</p> <p>7-9 Appropriations suspense file</p> <p>8-7 passed Appropriations 12-4</p> <p>8-8 amended</p> <p>8-15 amended and passed Assembly 49-29</p> <p>8-21 Senate concurs in Assembly amendments 24-13</p> <p>To the Governor</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • First 5 CA 6-16-08 • LA Co Office of Ed 6-16-08 • Mex-Amer Legal Def ense & Ed Fund 6-16-08 • CCCCA 7-2-08
SB 1632	To expand developmental disability surveillance and screening	Dead	

Child Care Legislative Summary, 2008

Bill link & author	Description of Bill Highlighted text is new or changed since last Friday.	Status, Recent History	Positions (See last page for abbrevs.)
SB 1674 Torlakson	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Would authorize Prop 49 after-school programs to operate on weekends. • Sets minimum amounts different sizes of very small schools can get in a grant. • Would require a program participant that contracts with another agency to provide some or all of the program's services to ensure that the contract includes funds for reasonable indirect and administrative costs incurred by the contracting agency • \$150,000 annually to the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing a California After School Teacher Pipeline Program pilot <p>4-2 amendment says "Costs associated with providing after school activities on weekends would be paid from a program's maximum or supplemental grant."</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adds a new size distinction among very small schools minimum grants <p>4-16 amendment makes trivial wording and punctuation changes</p> <p>5-27 amendment removes minimum grant amounts for very small schools</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sunsets the pilot 1-1-2016 • Weekend attendance does not count in the program attendance reported to CDE for calculating a grant amount • Fixed some wording errors; introduced others. <p>8-8 amendment deletes California After School Teacher Pipeline Pilot and program</p>	<p>2-22 Introduced</p> <p>Committee on Education</p> <p>Hearing date 4-9</p> <p>4-2 amended</p> <p>4-9 passed Education 7-0</p> <p>To Appropriations</p> <p>4-16 amended</p> <p>4-28 Appropriations suspense file</p> <p>5-27 Amended and passed Appropriations 8-3.</p> <p>5-29 Passed Senate 26-11</p> <p>6-19 passed Senate Ed 7-3</p> <p>To Appropriations</p> <p>7-2 Appropriations suspense file</p> <p>8-7 passed Appropriations 12-4</p> <p>To the floor</p> <p>8-8 amended</p> <p>8-20 passed Assembly 38-31</p> <p>8-28 Senate concurs in amendments 25-11</p> <p>To the governor</p>	<p>Support</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • After School Alternatives 4-7-08 • Bay Area Partnership for Children and Youth 4-7-08 • CA Alliance of Boys & Girls Clubs 4-7-08 • CA Assn for Health, Phys Ed, Recreation and Dance 4-7-08 • Coachella Valley Unified 4-7-08 • Conservation Corps of Long Beach 4-7-08 • Fight Crime: Invest in Kids 4-7-08 • LA's BEST 4-7-08 • League of CA Afterschool Providers 4-7-08 • Save the Children 4-7-08 • Sunset Neighborhood Beacon Center 4-7-08 • Team-Up for Youth 4-7-08 • Woodcraft Rangers 4-7-08
SB 1732 Romero	<p>For members of a Brown Act board to develop a "collective concurrence" by email is the same as if they were deciding board business face to face outside a noticed meeting.</p>	<p>7-3 signed by governor</p>	
SBX1 5 Cox	<p>Moves all First 5 money into the general fund to pay for health care programs.</p>	<p>dead</p>	
SBX3-16 Cox	<p>Would abolish First 5, with almost all ongoing tobacco money going to the general fund for health care;</p>	<p>dead</p>	

Child Care Legislative Summary, 2008

Bill link & author	Description of Bill	Status, Recent History	Positions (See last page for abbrevs.)
--------------------	---------------------	------------------------	-------------------------------------------

Highlighted text is new or changed since last Friday.

AFSCME = American Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees
 CAEYC = California Association for the Education of Young Children
 CAPPA = California Alternative Payment Program Association
 CCCCA = California Child Care Coordinators Association
 CCDAA = California Child Development Administrators Association
 CCLC = Child Care Law Center
 CDPI = Child Development Policy Institute
 CCSESA = California County Superintendents Educational Services Association
 CFT = California Federation of Teachers

CSAC = California State Association of Counties
 CTA = California Teachers Association
 CWDA = County Welfare Directors' Association
 First 5 = First 5 Commission of California
 LCC = League of California Cities
 LPC = Local Planning Council
 PACE = Professional Association for Childhood Education
 R&R = California State Resource & Referral Network
 SEIU = Service Employees International Union